

Confirmation No. 3460

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	VOOIS <i>et al.</i>	Examiner:	Shingles, Kristie D.
Serial No.:	09/597,704	Group Art Unit:	2448
Filed:	June 16, 2000	Docket No.:	8X8S.249PA
Allowed:	June 30, 2011		

Title: COMMUNICATIONS CONTROLLER AND METHOD THEREFOR

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE
c/o Technology Center 2448
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Customer No. 40581

Dear Sir:

The Examiner's statement for allowance could be construed to imply that the claimed invention was allowed because the references of record did not disclose certain aspects of the claimed invention and/or because of certain representations in the record. As the record is already established, Applicant does not acquiesce to any alleged similarities or stated reasons for allowance. The characterized aspects and/or reasons would not necessarily raise issues under § 102 or § 103, as the rigors of these statutes include a showing that the prior art teaches the entire claimed invention (all limitations are to be considered), and a showing that combining the various teachings of the prior art references are suggested in the art, under the proper legal standards. The reasons provided do not appear to be necessarily inconsistent herewith.

Unless Applicant hears otherwise, Applicant's comments herein are, as intended, clarifying in a manner consistent with the law.

Respectfully submitted,

CRAWFORD MAUNU PLLC
1150 Northland Drive, Suite 100
St. Paul, Minnesota 55120
(651) 686-6633

Dated: September 29, 2011.

By: 

Robert J. Crawford

Reg. No.: 32,122